

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/830,554	05/04/2001	Hideki Sasaki	P107424-0002	7179
75	590 03/29/2002			
RADER, FISHMAN & GRAUER, PLLC			EXAMINER	
1233 20th Street, N.W. Suite 501 Washington, DC 20036-5339			PIANALTO, BERNARD D	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1762	6
			DATE MAILED: 03/29/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		09/830,554	SASAKI, HIDEKI		
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Bernard D Pianalto	1762		
Period fo	• •				
THE N - Exten after S - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing of patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE date of this communication, even if timely filed.	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 I				
2a) <u></u> □	7,110 404.01.10	is action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims					
-	Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application.				
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra				
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
	Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected.				
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		•		
•	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.			
	ion Papers				
,	The specification is objected to by the Examine				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on		roved by the Examiner.		
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re				
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
-	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a)	☑ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documen				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documen				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the price application from the International Bee the attached detailed Office action for a lis	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). t of the certified copies not receiv	ved.		
14) 🔲 ,	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	tic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(e) (to a provisional application).		
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachmei					
2) Noti	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informa	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) al Patent Application (PTO-152)		

Name and

Application/Control Number: 09/830,554

Art Unit: 1762

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shimomura in view of Tanaka et al. The primary reference discloses in col. 7, line 44 to col. 8, line 25, col. 9, line 45 to col. 10 line 35 and the abstract a process of making a magnetic recording medium comprising applying a non-magnetic layer on to a substrate with a knife coater in which the non-magnetic layer comprises a binder, carbon particles and a lubricant and drying. Applying a magnetic coating on to the dried non-magnetic layer in which the magnetic layer comprises a binder and magnetic particles. The primary reference fails in anticipation of these claims in that it does not disclose the use of a die nozzle followed by scraping the excess coating material from the backing. The Tanaka et al reference discloses in the figures and col. 5, lines 1-20 a method of making a magnetic recording medium comprising applying a magnetic coating on to a backing with a die nozzle and determining the final coating thickness by scraping with a bar. It is the examiner's opinion that it would have been obvious for one having ordinary skill in this art at the time the invention was made to substitute the coating means of the secondary reference for the coating means of the primary reference since structural limitations in a process claim are immaterial from a patentable viewpoint. The

Application/Control Number: 09/830,554

Art Unit: 1762

Page 3

limitations of the dependent claims are conventional and do not render these claims unobvious.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bernard D Pianalto whose telephone number is 703 308 2332. The examiner can normally be reached on 5:30-6:00 Mon-Wed.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Shrive P Beck can be reached on 703 308 2333. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703 872 9310 for regular communications and 703 872 9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 306 5665.

6 March 25, 2002

Bernoud Tianallo

BERNARD PIANALTO PRIMARY EXAMINER